arXiv:1206.4250v1 [gr-qc] 19 Jun 2012

The Schrödinger-Newton equation as non-relativistic limit of self-gravitating Klein-Gordon and Dirac fields

Domenico Giulini and André Großardt
Center of Applied Space Technology and Microgravity
University of Bremen
Am Fallturm 1
D-28359 Bremen, Germany
and
Institute for Theoretical Physics
Leibniz University Hannover
Appelstrasse 2
D-30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

In this paper we show that the Schrödinger-Newton equation for spherically symmetric gravitational fields can be derived in a WKB-like expansion in $1/c$ from the Einstein-Klein-Gordon and Einstein-Dirac system.

1 Introduction

This work is the sequel to a recent study [15] in which we analysed the qualitative and quantitative behaviour of Gaussian wave-packets moving according to the time-dependent Schrödinger-Newton equation:

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\,\partial_t\psi(t,\vec{x}) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta - Gm^2\int\frac{|\psi(t,\vec{y})|^2}{\|\vec{x}-\vec{y}\|}\,\mathrm{d}^3y\right)\psi(t,\vec{x})\,. \tag{1}$$

In this paper we shall be concerned with the question of how (1) can be understood as a consequence of known principles and equations.

We recall that originally (1) was suggested in [9, 23] as a model for the gravitational localisation of macro objects. This was considered to be an essentially new mechanism that also involves hitherto unknown physics. In that sense (1) was taken by many as a hypothesis to be put to test by experiment. It is then natural to ask whether it predicts any observable consequences, say in molecular interferometry, that have any chance of being detected in the foreseeable future. It may also be envisaged that it might give interesting hints concerning the interface between quantum physics and gravitation, and that it might even shed some light on the intricate question concerning the necessity of quantum gravity [5, 26].

Our results in [15] showed that on the basis of the Schrödinger-Newton equation inhibitions of the dispersion of wave packets due to their own gravitational field start to become significant at mass scales around $10^{10}\,\mathrm{u}$ for width of $500\,\mathrm{nm}$. This is more than

6 orders of magnitude above the current masses in molecular interferometry [2, 16] but only 3 orders beyond the masses already envisaged possible in future experiments.

This paper is complementary to these attempts and devoted to a better *foundational* understanding of the Schrödinger-Newton equation, which we shall view as a general model for gravitational self-interaction of matter waves. Accordingly, we shall discuss its derivability from known principles, equations, and approximation schemes. Through that procedure we also hope to gain insight into the presently justifiable range of applicability of (1), which has been a matter of some debate over recent years. Needless to say that our approach here does of course not exclude the logical possibility that (1) may capture new physics, as originally anticipated. But even then it would be good to know what aspects of it just represent old physics.

The question we pose closely relates to that of how classical gravitational fields couple to "quantum matter", i. e. matter that is described by the Schrödinger equation. Note that here "gravitational fields" include those sourced by the quantum matter itself. For classical fields the coupling prescription that derives from the Equivalence Principle is the *minimal coupling scheme*, which proceeds in three steps:

1. Formulate the theory of the field you wish to couple to gravity in a way that satisfies the principles of Special Relativity. In particular: write the field equations in a Poincaré invariant fashion.

2. Replace the Minkowski metric by a general Lorentzian metric g and the Levi-Civita covariant derivative w. r. t. the Minkowski metric (which is just the partial derivative in global inertial coordinates in Minkowski space) by the Levi-Civita covariant derivative for the general metric g.

3. Calculate the energy-momentum tensor T for the matter field from the result of step 2 through variation of the action with respect to g and impose on g Einstein's gravitational field equation with a right-hand side (energy-momentum tensor) including T (and possible external sources).

There are some ambiguities in step 2 which are well known to result in differences in the couplings to the curvature tensor. These are not essential for our concern here and will be ignored. In contrast, far more serious for us are the difficulties posed by step 1. It requires the formulation of special-relativistic quantum mechanics, the "non-relativistic limit" ($1/c \to 0$) of which is Schrödinger's theory.[1] However, if "special-relativistic quantum mechanics" is interpreted as "special-relativistic one-particle quantum mechanics" this is impossible as such a theory is known not to exist (particle creation and annihilation). This seems to force us to interpret "special-relativistic quantum mechanics" as "Relativistic Quantum Field Theory". In that case step 2 would presumably be achieved for *external* gravitational fields by considering Quantum Field Theory in curved space, but the gravitational self interaction could certainly not be captured in that way.

We conclude that, strictly speaking, there is no obvious way to deduce from the standard formulation of the Equivalence Principle the general coupling of a classical

[1] In this paper we follow standard practise in speaking of "non-relativistic" approximations or limits, even though this is unfortunate terminology since it really means to approximate Poincaré symmetry by Galilei symmetry, both implementing the relativity principle.

gravitational field to matter described by Schrödinger's equation. For a spatially homogeneous gravitational field $\vec{g}(t)$ with arbitrary time dependence one could deduce the standard coupling, which consists of an addition of $V(\vec{x},t) = m\vec{g}(t)\cdot\vec{x}$ to the potential in the Hamiltonian, from the standard requirement of equivalence with rigidly accelerated frames (see, e. g., [14]). This has recently also be shown to work if one starts from the Klein-Gordon equation written in co-moving coordinates of a rigidly but time dependent accelerating frame and taking the appropriate $1/c \to 0$ limit [20]. But, to stress it once more, the general case does not seem to be deducible by standard procedures.

What we will do is to follow the example just mentioned and *formally* regard the Schrödinger equation as the non-relativistic limit of the Klein-Gordon or Dirac equation. To be sure, this is just what is often done in textbooks on Quantum Mechanics, and there is indeed nothing to be upset about as long as this is understood strictly as approximation scheme for differential equations of complex-valued fields on spacetime. However, what remains to be clarified is the interpretation of both fields, and their relation in the non-relativistic limit. This, we feel, is an important issue that should be given due thought before rushing into suggestions to look for possible experimental signatures of Schrödinger-Newton equation. In the present paper, however, we concentrate on the formal problem and will only shortly come back to the interpretational issues at the end.

2 The non-relativistic approximation scheme

We systematise the notion of "non-relativistic approximation" by following the WKB-type procedure of Kiefer & Singh [18]. Recall that the traditional WKB approximation is a scheme to obtain the semi-classical limit of a quantum theory by means of a formal expansion in terms of a dimensionful parameter $\hbar$, which is Planck's constant h divided by 2π. It starts by inserting the ansatz

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) \sim \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}S(\vec{x},t)\right) \tag{2}$$

for the wave function into a given linear partial differential equation, e. g. the Schrödinger or Klein-Gordon equation, and subsequently expanding the exponent S in terms of $\hbar$ [30]. The equation is then required to be satisfied at each order in $\hbar$. At each order one obtains a certain truncation of the original theory, which makes mathematical sense and may or may not lead to good approximations of the latter. In this sense $\hbar$ should be viewed as a deformation parameter of the theory rather than an approximation parameter. In the latter case we have to worry about convergence of this expansion, where the degree of smallness assigned to $\hbar$ will depend on the context. The formal expansion, however, makes sense independent of any context.

It was shown by Pauli [22] how this scheme can be adopted to wave functions with multiple components, as for the Dirac equation. Pauli derived as the semi-classical limit of the Dirac equation a set of equations that he could not solve in general. This was completed by Rubinow and Keller [25] and later by Rafanelli and Schiller [24], as well as Pardy [21], to yield, e. g., the classical Bargmann-Michel-Telegdi (BMT)

equation [3] at the appropriate order in $\hbar$. An alternative method to obtain the semi-classical limit of the Dirac equation using matrix-valued Wigner functions, which also leads to the BMT equation, was more recently developed by Spohn [28].

Similarly to the traditional WKB-method, the concept of a non-relativistic limit of a relativistic field theory can be understood as appropriate order in an expansion of the dimensionful parameter $1/c$. Again $1/c$ should be viewed as deformation parameter linking different theories. In particular it allows to contract the Poincaré symmetric theory to a Galilei symmetric theory as $1/c \to 0$. This results in a WKB-like scheme applied to the parameter $1/c$. Kiefer and Singh [18] showed that this can be used to derive the Schrödinger equation from the Klein-Gordon equation, and then generalised this method to derive quantum gravity corrections from the functional Wheeler-de Witt equation.

We argue in some detail that this method provides a universal scheme in which $\hbar$ as well as $1/c$ act as deformation parameters, and show that the Schrödinger-Newton equation occurs as a $1/c \to 0$ limit of the Klein-Gordon and Dirac field coupled to Einstein gravity. This goes beyond a former analysis of de Oliveira and Tiomno [7] which uses the traditional method of Foldy-Wouthuysen [12] but does not recouple the Dirac field into Einstein's equations.

Following the strategy just outlined, we can make the ansatz

$$\psi(\vec{x},t) = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S(\vec{x},t)\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n a_n(\vec{x},t), \tag{3}$$

where ψ can be a scalar, vector or spinor field and so are the a_n, but S always is a scalar function. The semi-classical and non-relativistic limits can then be derived by inserting this ansatz into the field equation considered and sorting by powers of either $\hbar$ or $1/c$.

We will perform both the semi-classical and the non-relativistic limit for the Klein-Gordon equation with an electromagnetic field in paragraph 3. Coupling the Klein-Gordon equation to general relativity we show that the Schrödinger-Newton equation can be derived as the non-relativistic limit of the coupled Einstein-Klein-Gordon system.

In paragraph 4 we will repeat this analysis for the Dirac equation with an electromagnetic field. We obtain the known results, namely the BMT equation as the semi-classical and the Pauli equation as the non-relativistic limit, respectively. When coupled to Einstein's equations, the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac equation yields the Schrödinger-Newton equation, too.

Throughout our signature convention for the metric will be "mostly plus", i. e. $(-,+,+,+)$.

3 Klein-Gordon fields

The free Klein-Gordon equation reads

$$\left(\Box - \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\right)\psi = 0, \qquad \Box = -\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2 + \Delta, \tag{4}$$

where ψ is a scalar field. Introducing an electromagnetic field with electric potential ϕ and vector potential $\vec{A}$ by replacing

$$\partial_t \to \partial_t + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\phi(\vec{x},t) \tag{5a}$$

$$\partial_k \to \partial_k - \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}A_k(\vec{x},t) \tag{5b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Box \to \Box + \frac{e^2}{\hbar^2c^2}\left(\phi^2 - c^2A^2\right) - \frac{2\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c^2}\left(\phi\partial_t + c^2\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\right) \\ - \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar c^2}\left(\dot{\phi} + c^2\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{5c}$$

the Klein-Gordon equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\partial_t^2 - c^2\Delta + \frac{2\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\left(\phi\partial_t + c^2\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\right) - \frac{e^2}{\hbar^2}\left(\phi^2 - c^2A^2\right) + \frac{m^2c^4}{\hbar^2} \\ + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\left(\dot{\phi} + c^2\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A}\right)\Big)\psi = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Note that the last lines of equations (5c) and (6) could be cancelled using the Lorenz gauge $\dot{\phi} + c^2\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A} = 0$, but we do not want to fix a gauge at this stage because it would be c-dependent.

We now make use of the ansatz (3) and calculate the first and second order temporal and spatial derivatives for the field ψ. They are

$$\partial_t\psi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}c^2S/\hbar}\frac{c^2}{\hbar}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\left(\mathrm{i}\dot{S}a_n + \dot{a}_{n-2}\right) \tag{7a}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}\psi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}c^2S/\hbar}\frac{c^2}{\hbar}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\left(\mathrm{i}(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n + \vec{\nabla}a_{n-2}\right) \tag{7b}$$

$$\partial_t^2\psi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}c^2S/\hbar}\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\left(-\dot{S}^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-2} + \ddot{a}_{n-4}\right) \tag{7c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\psi = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}c^2S/\hbar}\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Big(-(\vec{\nabla}S)^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} \\ + \mathrm{i}(\Delta S)a_{n-2} + \Delta a_{n-4}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{7d}$$

where we denote the time derivative ∂_t by a dot and define $a_n \equiv 0$ for all $n < 0$. Inserting this ansatz into the Klein-Gordon equation (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Big[-\dot{S}^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-2} + \ddot{a}_{n-4} \\ + c^2(\vec{\nabla}S)^2a_n - 2\mathrm{i}c^2(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} - \mathrm{i}c^2(\Delta S)a_{n-2} - c^2\Delta a_{n-4} \\ - \frac{2e\phi}{\hbar}\dot{S}a_{n-2} + \frac{2\mathrm{i}e\phi}{\hbar}\dot{a}_{n-4} - 2e(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S)a_n + 2\mathrm{i}e\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} \\ - \frac{e^2\phi^2}{\hbar^2}a_{n-4} + \frac{e^2A^2}{\hbar}a_{n-2} + m^2a_n + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\dot{\phi}a_{n-4} + \mathrm{i}e(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A})a_{n-2}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

3.1 The semi-classical limit

We first rewrite (8) by eliminating the $\hbar$ dependence inside the square brackets through appropriately shifting the summation index of the terms containing $\hbar$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Big[&-\dot{S}^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-2} + \ddot{a}_{n-4}\\ &+c^2(\vec{\nabla}S)^2a_n - 2\mathrm{i}c^2(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} - \mathrm{i}c^2(\Delta S)a_{n-2} - c^2\Delta a_{n-4}\\ &-\frac{2e\phi}{c^2}\dot{S}a_n + \frac{2\mathrm{i}e\phi}{c^2}\dot{a}_{n-2} - 2e(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S)a_n + 2\mathrm{i}e\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2}\\ &-\frac{e^2\phi^2}{c^4}a_n + \frac{e^2A^2}{c^2}a_n + m^2a_n + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{c^2}\dot{\phi}a_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}e(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A})a_{n-2}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Sorting by powers of n we obtain equations

$$\begin{aligned}0 = &\left(m^2 - \dot{S}^2 + c^2(\vec{\nabla}S)^2 - \frac{2e\phi}{c^2}\dot{S} - 2e(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S) - \frac{e^2\phi^2}{c^4} + \frac{e^2A^2}{c^2}\right)a_n\\ &+\mathrm{i}\left(\ddot{S} - c^2(\Delta S) + \frac{e}{c^2}\left(\dot{\phi} + c^2\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A}\right)\right)a_{n-2} + 2\mathrm{i}\left(\dot{S} + \frac{e\phi}{c^2}\right)\dot{a}_{n-2}\\ &-2\mathrm{i}c^2\left((\vec{\nabla}S) - \frac{e\vec{A}}{c^2}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} + \ddot{a}_{n-4} - c^2\Delta a_{n-4}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

one for each n. For $n = 0$ this yields

$$0 = (mc^2)^2 - \left(c^2\dot{S} + e\phi\right)^2 + c^2\left(c^2\vec{\nabla}S - e\vec{A}\right)^2\,, \tag{11}$$

which is the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a relativistic particle. The equations can be simplified further if we introduce the four vector π_μ with $\pi_0 = -c\dot{S} - e\phi/c$ and $\pi_k = -c^2\partial_k S + eA_k$. We obtain

$$0 = m^2c^2 + \pi_\mu\pi^\mu. \tag{12}$$

At order $n = 2$, now making use of the Lorenz gauge, we get

$$0 = \left(c^2\ddot{S} - c^4\Delta S\right)a_0 + 2\left(c^2\dot{S} + e\phi\right)\dot{a}_0 - 2c^2\left(c^2\vec{\nabla}S - e\vec{A}\right)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_0\,, \tag{13}$$

which (with $\partial_0 = \partial_t/c$) can be written as

$$0 = (\partial_\mu\pi^\mu)a_0 + 2\pi_\mu\partial^\mu a_0. \tag{14}$$

3.2 The non-relativistic limit

Again we rewrite equation (8), but this time we eliminate the c dependence inside the square brackets through appropriately shifting the summation index of the terms containing c. In order not to list terms with index larger than n, we make an overall

shift $n \to (n-2)$ and compensate for this by an overall multiplication with $c^2/\hbar$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^6}{\hbar^3}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n \Big[& -\dot{S}^2 a_{n-2} + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-4} + \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-4} + \ddot{a}_{n-6} \\ & + \hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)^2 a_n - 2\mathrm{i}\hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} - \mathrm{i}\hbar(\Delta S)a_{n-2} - \hbar\Delta a_{n-4} \\ & - \frac{2e\phi}{\hbar}\dot{S}a_{n-4} + \frac{2\mathrm{i}e\phi}{\hbar}\dot{a}_{n-6} - 2e(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S)a_{n-2} + 2\mathrm{i}e\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-4} \\ & - \frac{e^2\phi^2}{\hbar^2}a_{n-6} + \frac{e^2A^2}{\hbar}a_{n-4} + m^2 a_{n-2} + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\dot{\phi}a_{n-6} + \mathrm{i}e(\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A})a_{n-4}\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Sorting by powers of n we now get the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)^2 a_n \\ & + \left(m^2 - \dot{S}^2 - \mathrm{i}\hbar\Delta S - 2e(\vec{A}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S)\right)a_{n-2} - 2\mathrm{i}\hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} \\ & + \frac{1}{\hbar}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right)^2 a_{n-4} + \left(\mathrm{i}\ddot{S} - \frac{2e\phi}{\hbar}\dot{S}\right)a_{n-4} + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-4} \\ & - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right)^2 a_{n-6}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

At order $n = 0$ this yields simply $\vec{\nabla}S = 0$, thus $S(\vec{x}, t) = S(t)$ depends only on time.

At order $n = 2$ we then get

$$(m^2 - \dot{S}^2)a_0 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad S = \pm mt + \text{const.}\,, \tag{17}$$

where the constant term can be ignored and we choose the positive energy solution $S = -mt$.

Using these results at order $n = 4$ finally yields the Schrödinger equation

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right)a_0 = \frac{1}{2m}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right)^2 a_0\,. \tag{18}$$

At order $n = 6$ we get

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right)a_2 = \frac{1}{2m}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right)^2 a_2 - \frac{1}{2m\hbar}\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right)^2 a_0. \tag{19}$$

Neglecting the vector potential $\vec{A}$ this reduces to the equation already found by Kiefer and Singh [18]. Without any electromagnetic potentials, we have

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\dot{a}_2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta a_2 - \frac{\hbar^3}{8m^3}\Delta\Delta a_0. \tag{20}$$

3.3 Gravitating Klein-Gordon fields

Next we consider a Klein-Gordon field coupled to Einstein's equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi\,G}{c^4}\,T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{21}$$

where

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\, g_{\mu\nu}\, R \tag{22}$$

is the Einstein tensor and $T_{\mu\nu}$ the energy-momentum tensor of the Klein-Gordon field, the expression of which will be given below (cf. (38)). The set of equations (4) and (21) is also known as the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system. We specialise to spherically-symmetric metrics which, upon choosing appropriate coordinates, we may write in the form [29]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{e}^{2A(r,t)}\, c^2\, \mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{e}^{2B(r,t)}\, \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\, (\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\, \mathrm{d}\varphi^2), \tag{23}$$

with determinant

$$g = -c^2 \mathrm{e}^{2(A+B)} r^4 \sin^2\theta. \tag{24}$$

We expand e^A and e^B as

$$\mathrm{e}^{A(r,t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n A_n(r,t) \quad ; \quad A_0 \equiv 1 \tag{25}$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{B(r,t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n B_n(r,t) \quad ; \quad B_0 \equiv 1 \tag{26}$$

and make use of the further expansions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{e}^{-A} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n C_n, & \mathrm{e}^{-B} - 1 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n D_n, \\
\mathrm{e}^{-A}\dot{B} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n E_n, & \mathrm{e}^{-B}A' &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n F_n, \\
\mathrm{e}^{-2A} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n G_n, & \mathrm{e}^{-2B} - 1 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n H_n, \\
\mathrm{e}^{-2A}(\dot{A} - \dot{B}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n J_n, & \mathrm{e}^{-2B}(A' - B') &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n K_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_0 = 1, && C_1 = -A_1, && C_2 = A_1^2 - A_2 \\
&D_0 = 0, && D_1 = -B_1, && D_2 = B_1^2 - B_2 \\
&E_0 = 0, && E_1 = \dot{B}_1, && E_2 = -(A_1 + B_1)\cdot B_1 + \dot{B}_2 \\
&F_0 = 0, && F_1 = A_1', && F_2 = -(A_1 + B_1)A_1' + A_2' \\
&G_0 = 1, && G_1 = -2A_1, && G_2 = 3A_1^2 - 2A_2 \\
&H_0 = 0, && H_1 = -2B_1, && H_2 = 3B_1^2 - 2B_2 \\
&J_0 = 0, && J_1 = \dot{A}_1 - \dot{B}_1, && \\
& && J_2 = -2A_1(\dot{A}_1 - \dot{B}_1) - A_1\dot{A}_1 + B_1\dot{B}_1 + \dot{A}_2 - \dot{B}_2 \\
&K_0 = 0, && K_1 = A_1' - B_1', &&
\end{aligned}$$

$$K_2 = -2B_1(A_1' - B_1') - A_1A_1' + B_1B_1' + A_2' - B_2' \,.$$

The d'Alembert operator $\Box$ in a curved background is

$$\begin{aligned}\Box &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\,\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}\,g^{\mu\nu}\,\partial_\nu\cdot\right) &(28)\\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-2A}c^{-2}\left((\dot{A}-\dot{B})\partial_t - \partial_t^2\right) + \mathrm{e}^{-2B}(A'-B')\partial_r \\ &\quad + \left(\mathrm{e}^{-2B}-1\right)\left(\frac{2}{r}\partial_r + \partial_r^2\right) + \Delta &(29)\end{aligned}$$

where the dot denotes derivatives with respect to t, the prime denotes derivatives with respect to r and Δ is the flat, three-dimensional Laplace operator.

The Klein-Gordon-Equation then takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \mathrm{e}^{-2A}\,c^{-2}\,&\left(\left(\dot{A}-\dot{B}\right)\,\dot{\psi} - \ddot{\psi}\right) + \mathrm{e}^{-2B}\,(A'-B')\,\psi' \\ &+ \left(\mathrm{e}^{-2B}-1\right)\left(\frac{2}{r}\psi' + \psi''\right) + \Delta\psi - \frac{m^2\,c^2}{\hbar^2}\,\psi\,. \qquad (30)\end{aligned}$$

Using the same expansion (3) for ψ as before, and denoting by $\Delta_r = 2/r\,\partial_r + \partial_r^2$ the radial component of the spatial Laplacian, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}&\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\left[\frac{1}{\hbar}\mathrm{e}^{-2A}\left(\left(\dot{A}-\dot{B}\right)\left(\mathrm{i}\dot{S}a_{n-4}+\dot{a}_{n-6}\right)\right.\right. \\ &+\dot{S}^2a_{n-2} - 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-4} - \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-4} - \ddot{a}_{n-6}\Big) + \mathrm{e}^{-2B}\,(A'-B')\left(\mathrm{i}S'a_{n-2}+a'_{n-4}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2B}-1\right)\left(-S'^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}S'a'_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}(\Delta_r S)a_{n-2} + \Delta_r a_{n-4}\right) \\ &\left.-(\vec{\nabla}S)^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}(\Delta S)a_{n-2} + \Delta a_{n-4} - \frac{m^2}{\hbar}a_{n-2}\right] \qquad (31)\end{aligned}$$

and with the expansion for the exponentials (27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^4}{\hbar^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}&\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\{\frac{1}{\hbar}J_k\left(\mathrm{i}\dot{S}a_{n-k-4}+\dot{a}_{n-k-6}\right)\right.\right. \\ &+\frac{1}{\hbar}G_k\left(\dot{S}^2a_{n-k-2} - 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-k-4} - \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-k-4} - \ddot{a}_{n-k-6}\right) \\ &+K_k\left(\mathrm{i}S'a_{n-k-2}+a'_{n-k-4}\right) \\ &\left.+H_k\left(-S'^2a_{n-k} + 2\mathrm{i}S'a'_{n-k-2} + \mathrm{i}(\Delta_r S)a_{n-k-2} + \Delta_r a_{n-k-4}\right)\right\} \\ &\left.-(\vec{\nabla}S)^2a_n + 2\mathrm{i}(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} + \mathrm{i}(\Delta S)a_{n-2} + \Delta a_{n-4} - \frac{m^2}{\hbar}a_{n-2}\right]. \qquad (32)\end{aligned}$$

As $H_0 = J_0 = K_0 = 0$ this can still be simplified and for each n we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)^2 a_n + m^2 a_{n-2} - 2\mathrm{i}\hbar(\vec{\nabla}S)\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} - \mathrm{i}\hbar(\Delta S)a_{n-2} - \dot{S}^2 a_{n-2} \\
&\quad + 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-4} + \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-4} - \hbar\Delta a_{n-4} + \ddot{a}_{n-6} - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[J_k\left(\mathrm{i}\dot{S}a_{n-k-4} + \dot{a}_{n-k-6}\right) \\
&\quad + G_k\left(\dot{S}^2 a_{n-k-2} - 2\mathrm{i}\dot{S}\dot{a}_{n-k-4} - \mathrm{i}\ddot{S}a_{n-k-4} - \ddot{a}_{n-k-6}\right) \\
&\quad + K_k\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar S' a_{n-k-2} + \hbar a'_{n-k-4}\right) \\
&\quad + H_k\left(-\hbar S'^2 a_{n-k} + 2\mathrm{i}\hbar S' a'_{n-k-2} + \mathrm{i}\hbar(\Delta_r S)a_{n-k-2} + \hbar\Delta_r a_{n-k-4}\right)\Bigg]. \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

At lowest order $n = 0$ (33) is again equivalent to $(\vec{\nabla}S)^2 = 0$. Thus, S is a function of time only and (33) is trivially fulfilled at order $n = 1$.

At order $n = 2$ we get $\dot{S}^2 = m^2$ and choose, as before, the positive energy solution $S = -mt$. With these results equation (33) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -\mathrm{i}\hbar\dot{a}_{n-4} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta a_{n-4} + \frac{\hbar}{2m}\ddot{a}_{n-6} \\
&\quad - \frac{\hbar}{2m}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Big[G_k\left(m^2 a_{n-k-2} + 2\mathrm{i}m\dot{a}_{n-k-4} - \ddot{a}_{n-k-6}\right) \\
&\quad + \hbar\left(K_k a'_{n-k-4} + H_k\Delta_r a_{n-k-4}\right) - J_k\left(\mathrm{i}m a_{n-k-4} - \dot{a}_{n-k-6}\right)\Big]. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

At order $n = 3$ we now obtain $G_1 = 0$ and therefore $A_1 = 0$, $G_2 = -2A_2$.

Considering (33) at order $n = 4$, we finally see that the Klein-Gordon equation is equivalent to the Schrödinger-Newton equation

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\dot{a}_0 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta a_0 + V a_0 \quad (35)$$

with potential $V = m\hbar A_2$.

3.3.1 Einstein's equations

Let us now consider Einstein's equations to derive the Poisson equation for the potential V.

The non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor are

$$G_{tt} = \mathrm{e}^{2A}\, c^2\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \mathrm{e}^{-2B}\left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{2\,B'}{r}\right)\right) \quad (36a)$$

$$G_{rr} = -\frac{1}{r^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{2B} + \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{2\,A'}{r} \quad (36b)$$

$$G_{tr} = \frac{2\,\dot{B}}{r} \quad (36c)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\theta\theta} &= r^2\,\mathrm{e}^{-2B}\left(A'^2 - A'\,B' + A'' + \frac{A' - B'}{r}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{r^2}{c^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2A}\left(-\dot{B}^2 + \dot{A}\dot{B} - \ddot{B}\right) \quad (36d)
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_{\varphi\varphi} = \sin^2\theta\, G_{\theta\theta}. \quad (36e)$$

From the Lagrangian for the Klein-Gordon field

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left((\partial^\lambda\psi)(\partial_\lambda\psi^*) + \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\,|\psi|^2\right)\sqrt{-g} \tag{37}$$

the stress-energy-tensor can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left[(\partial_\mu\psi)(\partial_\nu\psi^*) + (\partial_\mu\psi^*)(\partial_\nu\psi) - g_{\mu\nu}\left((\partial^\lambda\psi)(\partial_\lambda\psi^*) + \frac{m^2c^2}{\hbar^2}\,|\psi|^2\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Its non-vanishing components are

$$T_{tt} = \frac{mc^4}{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{2A}\,|\psi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2c^2}{2m}\,\mathrm{e}^{2(A-B)}|\psi'|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,|\dot\psi|^2 \tag{39a}$$

$$T_{rr} = -\frac{mc^2}{2}\,\mathrm{e}^{2B}\,|\psi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,|\psi'|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m\,c^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{2(B-A)}|\dot\psi|^2 \tag{39b}$$

$$T_{tr} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\,(\dot\psi\psi'^* + \dot\psi^*\psi') \tag{39c}$$

$$T_{\theta\theta} = -\frac{mc^2}{2}r^2\,|\psi|^2 - \frac{\hbar^2\,r^2}{2m}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2B}|\psi'|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2\,r^2}{2m\,c^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{-2A}\,|\dot\psi|^2 \tag{39d}$$

$$T_{\varphi\varphi} = \sin^2\theta\,T_{\theta\theta}. \tag{39e}$$

We now expand both the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}/c^4$ using *Mathematica* and consider Einstein's equations for each component order by order. We make use of the fact that $A_1 = 0$ from our analysis of the Klein-Gordon equation, and we use the lower order results to simplify the equations at higher order. The components that are not mentioned are trivially fulfilled at the given order.

$\boldsymbol{n = 0}$**:** The tt-component yields $(rB_1)' = 0$.

$\boldsymbol{n = 1}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$\frac{2\hbar}{r^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}B_1^2 + (rB_2)'\right) = 8\pi G m|a_0|^2. \tag{40}$$

$\boldsymbol{n = 2}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$\frac{2\hbar}{r^2}\left(-4B_1^3 - 3rB_1B_2' + (rB_3)'\right) = 8\pi G m\,(a_1^*a_0 + a_0^*a_1)\,. \tag{41}$$

The rr- and $\theta\theta$-components both yield $B_1 = 0$ and the tr-component $B_1' = 0$ is then trivial as well as the order $n = 0$ equation. Equations (40) and (41) then simplify to

$$(rB_2)' = \frac{4\pi G m r^2}{\hbar}|a_0|^2 \tag{42}$$

$$(rB_3)' = \frac{4\pi G m r^2}{\hbar}\,(a_1a_0^* + a_0a_1^*) \tag{43}$$

$\boldsymbol{n=3}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$4(A_2-B_2)(rB_2)'+B_2^2-2rB_2B_2'+(rB_4)'=\frac{4\pi Gr^2}{\hbar^2}\Big[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|a_0'|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar}{2}\left(a_0^*\dot{a}_0-\dot{a}_0^*a_0\right)+m\hbar\left(A_2|a_0|^2+|a_1|^2+a_2^*a_0+a_0^*a_2\right)\Big]. \quad (44)$$

The rr-component yields

$$B_2=rA_2' \quad (45)$$

and the $\theta\theta$-component is just the derivative of the rr-component. The tr-component yields

$$\frac{2}{r}\dot{B}_2=4\pi\mathrm{i}G\left(a_0^*a_0'-a_0a_0^{*\prime}\right). \quad (46)$$

If we define the potential $V=m\hbar A_2$ as before and analogously $U=m\hbar B_2$, and also introduce the (r-component of the) probability current

$$j_{\mathrm{KG}}=\frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar}{2m}\left(a_0^{*\prime}a_0-a_0^*a_0'\right), \quad (47)$$

we are left with the following set of equations:

$$U=rV' \quad (48a)$$

$$(rU)'=4\pi Gm^2r^2|a_0|^2 \quad (48b)$$

$$\dot{U}=-4\pi Gm^2rj, \quad (48c)$$

which are equations for U, V and a_0 only, together with equations (43) and (44) which constrain B_3, B_4 and a_2 in terms of U, V and a_0.

3.3.2 Poisson equation

Let us further analyse the set of equations (48) to show that they are equivalent to the Poisson equation for the potential V.

U is determined from (48b) to be

$$U(r,t)=\frac{4\pi G\,m^2}{r}\int_0^r \mathrm{d}\widetilde{r}\,\widetilde{r}^2\,|a_0(\widetilde{r},t)|^2. \quad (49)$$

Inserting (48a) into (48b) yields

$$4\pi\,G\,m^2\,|a_0|^2=V''+\frac{2}{r}V'=\Delta V \quad (50)$$

and therefore the Laplace equation for V that we were looking for.

We still have to check the consistency of equation (48c). Note that by the continuity equation which directly follows from the Schrödinger equation we get

$$\mathrm{div}\vec{j}+\partial_t|a_0|^2=0, \quad (51)$$

where in the spherically symmetric case the divergence is given by

$$\mathrm{div}\vec{j}=j'+\frac{2}{r}\,j. \quad (52)$$

Differentiating (49) by t then yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{U} &= 4\pi\, G\, m^2\, r \int_0^r \mathrm{d}\widetilde{r}\, \frac{\widetilde{r}^2}{r^2}\, \partial_t |a_0(\widetilde{r},t)|^2 \\ &= -4\pi\, G\, m^2\, r \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\, x^2 \mathrm{div}\vec{j}(xr,t) \\ &= -G\, m^2\, r \int_{\text{unit sphere}} \mathrm{dV}\ \mathrm{div}\vec{j}(xr,t) \\ &= -\, G\, m^2\, r \int_{\partial(\text{unit sphere})} \mathrm{dS}\ j(r,t) \\ &= -4\pi\, G\, m^2\, r\, j. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Hence, equation (48c) already follows from the other equations and the system is consistent.

4 Dirac fields

Let us now turn to the Dirac equation and repeat our analysis for this case. The free Dirac equation is

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)\psi = 0, \qquad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix},\ \gamma^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^k \\ -\sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{54}$$

with the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{i} \\ \mathrm{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

ψ here is a four component spinor field.

Defining the matrices $\beta = \gamma^0$ and $\alpha^k = \gamma^0\gamma^k$ and multiplying equation (54) by βc yields

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\partial_t + \mathrm{i}c\alpha^k\partial_k - \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\beta\right)\psi = 0. \tag{56}$$

Now we can, again, introduce an electromagnetic field with electric potential ϕ and vector potential $\vec{A}$ by replacing

$$\partial_t \to \partial_t + \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}\phi(\vec{x},t) \tag{57}$$

$$\partial_k \to \partial_k - \frac{\mathrm{i}e}{\hbar}A_k(\vec{x},t). \tag{58}$$

The Dirac equation then takes the form

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\partial_t + \mathrm{i}c\alpha^k\partial_k - \frac{e}{\hbar}\phi + \frac{ec}{\hbar}\alpha^k A_k - \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\beta\right)\psi = 0. \tag{59}$$

As for the Klein-Gordon equation, we now make use of our ansatz (3) with the derivatives (7), where S now is a scalar function but the a_n are four component spinors.

Inserting this ansatz into the Dirac equation (59) yields

$$0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^2}{\hbar}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Big[-\dot{S}a_n + \mathrm{i}\dot{a}_{n-2} - \frac{e\phi}{\hbar}a_{n-2}$$
$$-c\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n + \mathrm{i}c\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} + \frac{ec}{\hbar}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{A}a_{n-2} - \frac{mc^2}{\hbar}\beta a_{n-2}\Big]. \qquad (60)$$

4.1 The semi-classical limit

As for the Klein-Gordon equation we rewrite equation (60) eliminating the $\hbar$ terms:

$$0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^2}{\hbar}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Big[-\dot{S}a_n + \mathrm{i}\dot{a}_{n-2} - \frac{e\phi}{c^2}a_n$$
$$-c\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n + \mathrm{i}c\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} + \frac{e}{c}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{A}a_n - m\beta a_n\Big]. \qquad (61)$$

Sorting by powers of n this yields

$$\left(m\beta + \dot{S} + \frac{e\phi}{c^2} + c\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla}S - \frac{e}{c}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{A}\right)a_n - \mathrm{i}\dot{a}_{n-2} - \mathrm{i}c\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2} = 0\,. \qquad (62)$$

Making use of the notations $\pi_0 = -c\dot{S} - e\phi/c$ and $\pi_k = -c^2\partial_k S + eA_k$ we obtain at order $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 = (mc\beta - \pi_0 - \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\pi})\,a_0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & 0 = (mc - \pi_\mu\gamma^\mu)\,a_0 \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} (mc-\pi_0)\mathbb{1} & -\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi} \\ \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi} & (mc+\pi_0)\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} a_0, \end{aligned} \qquad (63)$$

which has non-trivial solutions if and only if the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} (mc-\pi_0)\mathbb{1} & -\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi} \\ \vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi} & (mc+\pi_0)\mathbb{1} \end{vmatrix} = m^2c^2 - \pi_0^2 + \left|(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi})^2\right| = 0 \qquad (64)$$

vanishes. The Pauli matrices obey the algebra

$$\sigma^i\sigma^j = \delta^{ij} + \mathrm{i}\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\sigma\cdot\vec{u})(\sigma\cdot\vec{v}) = \vec{u}\cdot\vec{v} + \mathrm{i}\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\vec{u}\times\vec{v}), \qquad (65)$$

therefore $(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\pi})^2 = \vec{\pi}\cdot\vec{\pi}$ and (64) yields again, as for the Klein-Gordon equation, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a relativistic particle

$$0 = m^2c^2 + \pi_\mu\pi^\mu. \qquad (66)$$

At order $n = 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (mc - \pi_\mu\gamma^\mu)\,a_2 &= \mathrm{i}c\gamma^0\left(\partial_t + c\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\right)a_0 \\ &= -\mathrm{i}c^2\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu a_0. \end{aligned} \qquad (67)$$

If we name the operators by

$$L := mc - \pi_\mu \gamma^\mu \ , \quad D := -\mathrm{i}c^2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \ , \tag{68}$$

at order $n = 0$ we have the condition that $a_0 \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$. At second order we now have $Da_0 \in \mathrm{Im}(L)$ which is equivalent to $Da_0 \in \big(\mathrm{Ker}(L^\dagger)\big)^\perp$. Now note that $L^\dagger = \gamma^0 L \gamma^0$ and therefore $x \in \mathrm{Ker}(L^\dagger) \Leftrightarrow \gamma^0 x \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$.

The condition that Da_0 is in the image of L is therefore equivalent to the condition that for any two solutions $a_0, \widetilde{a}_0 \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ to the first order equation we have

$$\overline{a}_0 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \widetilde{a}_0 = 0, \tag{69}$$

where $\overline{a}_0 = (a_0)^\dagger \gamma_0$ is the adjoint spinor. Equations (63) and (69) together determine the solutions a_0 at first order.

4.1.1 Derivation of the BMT equation

We can use these results to obtain the Bargmann-Michel-Telegdi equation [3] as a necessary condition. First multiply equation (67) by $(mc + \pi_\mu \gamma^\mu)$ from the left. Then the left-hand side vanishes and we get

$$(mc + \pi_\mu \gamma^\mu) \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu a_0 = 0. \tag{70}$$

Now let us calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\pi^\mu \partial_\mu a_0 &= \pi_\mu (-2\eta^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\nu a_0 \\
&= \pi_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \partial_\nu a_0 + \pi_\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \partial_\nu a_0 \\
&= -mc\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu a_0 + \partial_\nu \left(\gamma^\nu \pi_\mu \gamma^\mu a_0\right) - (\partial_\nu \pi_\mu) \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu a_0 \\
&= -(\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \pi_\mu) a_0 \\
&= \left(\partial_\mu \pi^\mu - \vec{\alpha} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{c} \dot{\vec{\pi}} - \vec{\nabla} \pi_0 \right) + \mathrm{i}\gamma^5 \vec{\alpha} \cdot \left(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\pi} \right) \right) a_0 \\
&= (\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) a_0 - e \left(\frac{1}{c} \vec{\alpha} \cdot \left(\vec{E} - \dot{\vec{A}} \right) + \mathrm{i}\gamma^5 \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{B} \right) a_0 \\
&= (\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) a_0 + \frac{e}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu a_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where $\gamma^5 = \mathrm{i}\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ and we made use of (70) in the third and (63) in the fourth line. Performing the same calculation for the adjoint leads to

$$-2\pi^\mu \partial_\mu \overline{a}_0 = (\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) \overline{a}_0 - \frac{e}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \overline{a}_0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu. \tag{72}$$

We follow [24] and set

$$S^\mu = \overline{a}_0 \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu a_0, \tag{73}$$

the spin density, then we get from equations (71) and (72)

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^\mu \partial_\mu S^\nu &= \pi^\mu (\partial_\mu \overline{a}_0) \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu a_0 + \overline{a}_0 \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \pi^\mu \partial_\mu a_0 \\
&= -(\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) S^\nu + \frac{e}{4} F_{\rho\sigma} \overline{a}_0 \left(\gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu - \gamma^5 \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \right) a_0 \\
&= -(\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) S^\nu + \frac{e}{4} F_{\rho\sigma} \overline{a}_0 \gamma^5 \left(2\eta^{\rho\nu} \gamma^\sigma - 2\eta^{\sigma\nu} \gamma^\rho \right) a_0 \\
&= -(\partial_\mu \pi^\mu) S^\nu + e F^\nu{}_\rho S^\rho.
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

For the normalised spin density

$$\hat{S}^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-S_\nu S^\nu}} S^\mu \tag{75}$$

the first term vanishes and we obtain the BMT equation (for g-factor $g = 2$)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\hat{S}^\nu = \frac{1}{m}\pi^\mu \partial_\mu \hat{S}^\nu = \frac{e}{m} F^\nu{}_\rho \hat{S}^\rho, \tag{76}$$

where the first equality holds because $\pi^\mu = m v^\mu$ with v^μ the four-velocity of the relativistic particle in an electromagnetic field.

4.2 The non-relativistic limit

Again, we rewrite equation (60) eliminating the c terms:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar} S\right) \frac{c^3}{\hbar^{3/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n \Big[&- \dot{S} a_{n-1} + \mathrm{i}\dot{a}_{n-3} - \frac{e\phi}{\hbar} a_{n-3} \\ &- \sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S) a_n + \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\nabla} a_{n-2} + \frac{e}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{A} a_{n-2} - m\beta a_{n-1}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Sorting by powers of n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S) a_n + \left(\dot{S} + m\beta\right) a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\alpha}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right) a_{n-2} \\ - \frac{1}{\hbar}\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right) a_{n-3} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

At order $n = 0$ this simply becomes $\vec{\nabla} S = 0$, and therefore $S = S(t)$ is a function of time only.

Now, splitting the four component spinors $a_n = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, a_{n,3}, a_{n,4})$ into two two component spinors $a_n^> = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2})$ and $a_n^< = (a_{n,3}, a_{n,4})$, at order $n = 1$ we get the two equations

$$(m + \dot{S}) a_0^> = 0 \tag{79a}$$

$$(m - \dot{S}) a_0^< = 0, \tag{79b}$$

which can be consistently fulfilled only if either $S = -mt$ and the negative energy component $a_0^<$ vanishes or $S = +mt$ and the positive energy component $a_0^>$ vanishes. From here on, we will choose the first and set $a_0^< \equiv 0$. With this choice, the Dirac equation (78) simplifies to the following two equations:

$$0 = \sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right) a_{n-2}^< - \left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right) a_{n-3}^> \tag{80a}$$

$$a_{n-1}^< = \frac{1}{2m\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right) a_{n-2}^> - \frac{1}{2m}\left(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t - e\phi\right) a_{n-3}^<. \tag{80b}$$

At order $n = 2$ (80a) is trivially fulfilled. Equation (80b) determines $a_1^<$ to be

$$a_1^< = \frac{1}{2m\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}\right) a_0^>. \tag{81}$$

Using this at order $n = 3$ in equation (80a), we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2m}\left[\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)\right]^2-(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t-e\phi)\right)a_0^{>}=0. \tag{82}$$

Making use of

$$\begin{aligned}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)\times\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)a_0^{>} &= -\hbar^2\underbrace{\vec{\nabla}\times(\vec{\nabla}a_0^{>})}_{=0}\\ &+\mathrm{i}e\hbar\underbrace{\vec{\nabla}\times(a_0^{>}\vec{A})}_{=a_0^{>}\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{A}-\vec{A}\times\vec{\nabla}a_0^{>}}+\mathrm{i}e\hbar\vec{A}\times\vec{\nabla}a_0^{>}+e^2\underbrace{\vec{A}\times\vec{A}}_{=0}=\mathrm{i}e\hbar\vec{B}a_0^{>}\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

and the algebra (65) of the Pauli matrices we end up with the Pauli equation

$$(\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t-e\phi)\,a_0^{>}=\frac{1}{2m}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)^2a_0^{>}-\frac{e\hbar}{2m}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B}a_0^{>}. \tag{84}$$

Equation (80b) at order $n = 3$ determines $a_2^{<}$ to be

$$a_2^{<}=\frac{1}{2m\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)a_1^{>}. \tag{85}$$

This is exactly the same relation as (81) with the indices shifted by one. Thus, from equation (80a) at order $n = 4$ we will get the Pauli equation again for $a_1^{>}$. But equation (80b) at order $n = 4$ now has an additional term depending on $a_1^{<}$

$$a_3^{<}=\frac{1}{2m\sqrt{\hbar}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\vec{\nabla}-e\vec{A}\right)a_2^{>}+\frac{1}{2m}\left(-\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t+e\phi\right)a_1^{<}. \tag{86}$$

This will make the equation for $a_2^{>}$ more complicated, and different from the Pauli equation, at order $n = 5$.

4.3 Gravitating Dirac fields

Now let us consider the Einstein-Dirac system, consisting of the Dirac equation (54) and Einstein's equations (21).

As for the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system, we make an ansatz using a spherically symmetric metric tensor and therefore also need the stress-energy-tensor to be spherically symmetric. Note that a single Dirac particle cannot have spherical symmetry, which is why we have to average over all spin directions. But we will only take this into account at the very end of our considerations.

We use the general relativistic formulation of the Dirac equation according to Finster [10] and Finster et al. [11]

$$\left(\not{D}-\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)\psi=0. \tag{87}$$

The Dirac operator is defined as

$$\not{D}=\mathrm{i}\Gamma^\mu(x)\partial_\mu+Y(x) \tag{88a}$$

$$Y(x)=\Gamma^\mu(x)Z_\mu(x) \tag{88b}$$

$$Z_\mu=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho\partial_\mu\rho-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{16}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu\nabla_\mu\Gamma^\rho\right)\Gamma_\nu\Gamma_\rho+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho\Gamma_\mu\nabla_\nu\Gamma^\nu\right)\rho \tag{88c}$$

$$\rho=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Gamma^\mu\Gamma^\nu\Gamma^\rho\Gamma^\sigma, \tag{88d}$$

where ∇ is the covariant derivative, $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol defined by

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \sqrt{-g} \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (\mu\nu\rho\sigma) \text{ is an even permutation of } (tr\theta\varphi) \\ -1 & \text{if } (\mu\nu\rho\sigma) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (tr\theta\varphi) \\ 0 & \text{if two or more indices are equal} \end{cases} \tag{89}$$

and Γ^μ is a representation of the space-time dependent Dirac matrices, satisfying the Clifford algebra

$$\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\} = -2g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{90}$$

The additional part $Y(x)$ will turn out not to contribute to the Schrödinger-Newton equation and is only relevant at higher order in $1/c$.

It is useful to represent these matrices in the basis where they become the linear combination

$$\Gamma^t = \mathrm{e}^{-A} c^{-1} \gamma^0 \tag{91}$$

$$\Gamma^r = \mathrm{e}^{-B} \left(\gamma^1 \cos\theta + \gamma^2 \sin\theta \cos\varphi + \gamma^3 \sin\theta \sin\varphi \right) \tag{92}$$

$$\Gamma^\theta = \frac{1}{r} \left(-\gamma^1 \sin\theta + \gamma^2 \cos\theta \cos\varphi + \gamma^3 \cos\theta \sin\varphi \right) \tag{93}$$

$$\Gamma^\varphi = \frac{1}{r \sin\theta} \left(-\gamma^2 \sin\varphi + \gamma^3 \cos\varphi \right), \tag{94}$$

of the Dirac matrices γ^μ as defined before. These matrices satisfy the anti-commutator algebra, and simplify the equations because in this representation $\rho = \gamma^5$ and therefore the first term of Z_μ vanishes because ρ is constant, and the third term vanishes because derivatives of the Γ^μ as well as the Γ^μ themselves are linear in the γ^μ and $\mathrm{Tr}\left(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu\right) = 0$. Therefore

$$Y = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{16} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \nabla_\mu \Gamma^\rho \right) \Gamma^\mu \Gamma_\nu \Gamma_\rho \tag{95}$$

$$= -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{16} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \nabla_\mu \Gamma^\rho \right) \left(\delta^\mu_\rho \Gamma_\nu - \delta^\mu_\nu \Gamma_\rho - \Gamma^\mu g_{\nu\rho} + \mathrm{i} \epsilon^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma \gamma^5 \right) \tag{96}$$

$$= -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \nabla_\rho \Gamma^\rho \right) \Gamma_\nu + \frac{1}{16} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma_\nu \nabla_\mu \Gamma_\rho \right) \Gamma_\sigma \gamma^5 \tag{97}$$

$$= -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{8} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \nabla_\rho \Gamma^\rho \right) \Gamma_\nu. \tag{98}$$

All this is in agreement with [11] and can be straightforwardly verified. The third line follows, because the δ^μ_ν terms in the second line are equal and the $g_{\nu\rho}$ term vanishes. The second term in the third line vanishes, because the trace vanishes if all three indices are different.

Using that $\nabla_\rho \Gamma^\rho = \alpha_\rho \Gamma^\rho$ is some linear combination of the gamma matrices we

get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \nabla_\rho \Gamma^\rho\right) &= \alpha_\rho \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\rho\right) && (99)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\rho \mathrm{Tr}\left(\{\Gamma^\nu, \Gamma^\rho\} + [\Gamma^\nu, \Gamma^\rho]\right) && (100)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\rho \left(\mathrm{Tr}\left(\{\Gamma^\nu, \Gamma^\rho\}\right) + \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\rho\right) - \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\rho \Gamma^\nu\right)\right) && (101)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\alpha_\rho \left(\mathrm{Tr}\left(-2g^{\nu\rho}\mathbb{1}_{4\times 4}\right) + \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\rho\right) - \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\nu \Gamma^\rho\right)\right) && (102)\\
&= -\alpha_\rho g^{\nu\rho}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbb{1}_{4\times 4}\right) && (103)\\
&= -4\alpha^\nu && (104)
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\not{D} = \mathrm{i}\Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\nabla_\mu \Gamma^\mu. \tag{105}$$

For our ansatz for the Dirac matrices we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\mu \Gamma^\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\Gamma^\mu\right) && (106)\\
&= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_t\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\Gamma^t\right)}_{\dot{B}\Gamma^t} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_r\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\Gamma^r\right)}_{\left(A'+\frac{2}{r}\right)\Gamma^r}\\
&\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\theta\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\Gamma^\theta\right)}_{\cot\theta\,\Gamma^t - \frac{\mathrm{e}^B}{r}\Gamma^r} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\varphi\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\Gamma^\varphi\right)}_{-\frac{\gamma^2\cos\varphi + \gamma^3\sin\varphi}{r\sin\theta}}\\
&= \dot{B}\Gamma^t + \left(A' + \frac{2}{r}\right)\Gamma^r - \frac{\mathrm{e}^B}{r}\Gamma^r\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\left(\gamma^1\cos\theta\sin\theta - (1+\cos^2\theta)(\gamma^2\cos\varphi + \gamma^3\sin\varphi)\right) && (107)\\
&= \dot{B}\Gamma^t + \left(A' + \frac{2}{r}\left(1 - \mathrm{e}^B\right)\right)\Gamma^r && (108)
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\not{D} = \mathrm{i}\Gamma^t\left(\partial_t + \frac{\dot{B}}{2}\right) + \mathrm{i}\Gamma^r\left(\partial_r + \frac{A'}{2} + \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^B}{r}\right) + \mathrm{i}\Gamma^\theta\partial_\theta + \mathrm{i}\Gamma^\varphi\partial_\varphi. \tag{109}$$

Now we make use of the expansion (3) for ψ as before and insert it into the Dirac equation (87). We introduce the matrices $\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ analogously to the previously defined $\vec{\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\alpha}^r &= \gamma^0\gamma^1\cos\theta + \gamma^0\gamma^2\sin\theta\cos\varphi + \gamma^0\gamma^3\sin\theta\sin\varphi && (110a)\\
\widetilde{\alpha}^\theta &= -\gamma^0\gamma^1\sin\theta + \gamma^0\gamma^2\cos\theta\cos\varphi + \gamma^0\gamma^3\cos\theta\sin\varphi && (110b)\\
\widetilde{\alpha}^\varphi &= -\gamma^0\gamma^2\sin\varphi + \gamma^0\gamma^3\cos\varphi, && (110c)
\end{aligned}$$

as well as the rotated Pauli matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\sigma}^r &= \sigma^1\cos\theta + \sigma^2\sin\theta\cos\varphi + \sigma^3\sin\theta\sin\varphi && (111a)\\
\widetilde{\sigma}^\theta &= -\sigma^1\sin\theta + \sigma^2\cos\theta\cos\varphi + \sigma^3\cos\theta\sin\varphi && (111b)\\
\widetilde{\sigma}^\varphi &= -\sigma^2\sin\varphi + \sigma^3\cos\varphi. && (111c)
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the Dirac equation (87) with $\gamma^0 = \beta$ from the left we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}c^2}{\hbar}S\right)\frac{c^2}{\hbar}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n\Bigg[&-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\mathrm{e}^{-A}\dot{S}a_{n-1}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\mathrm{e}^{-A}\dot{a}_{n-3}\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\sqrt{\hbar}}\mathrm{e}^{-A}\dot{B}a_{n-3}-\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n-\left(\mathrm{e}^{-B}-1\right)\widetilde{\alpha}^r S' a_n+\mathrm{i}\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2}\\
&+\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-B}-1\right)\widetilde{\alpha}^r a'_{n-2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\mathrm{e}^{-B}A'\widetilde{\alpha}^r a_{n-2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{r}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-B}-1\right)\widetilde{\alpha}^r a_{n-2}\\
&-\beta\frac{m}{\sqrt{\hbar}}a_{n-1}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}\tag{112}$$

and with the expansion for the exponentials (27) we have for each n

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = &\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n+\beta m a_{n-1}-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2}\\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\Bigg[C_k\dot{S}a_{n-k-1}-\mathrm{i}C_k\dot{a}_{n-k-3}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}E_k a_{n-k-3}+\sqrt{\hbar}D_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r S' a_{n-k}\\
&-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}D_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r a'_{n-k-2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2}F_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r a_{n-k-2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{r}D_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r a_{n-k-2}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}\tag{113}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= &\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot(\vec{\nabla}S)a_n+\beta m a_{n-1}+\dot{S}a_{n-1}-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\alpha}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_{n-2}-\mathrm{i}\dot{a}_{n-3}\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\sqrt{\hbar}D_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r S' a_{n-k}+C_k\dot{S}a_{n-k-1}-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}D_k\widetilde{\alpha}^r a'_{n-k-2}\\
&-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2}\left(F_k+\frac{2}{r}D_k\right)\widetilde{\alpha}^r a_{n-k-2}-\mathrm{i}C_k\dot{a}_{n-k-3}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}E_k a_{n-k-3}\Bigg].
\end{aligned}\tag{114}$$

As before, at order $n = 0$ this yields $\vec{\nabla}S = 0$, i. e. S is a function of time only, and at order $n = 1$ we get $(\beta m + \dot{S})a_0 = 0$. Again, we split the four component spinors $a_n = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, a_{n,3}, a_{n,4})$ into two two component spinors $a_n^> = (a_{n,1}, a_{n,2})$ and $a_n^< = (a_{n,3}, a_{n,4})$ and choose $a_0^< \equiv 0$. We are then left with $S = -mt$ and from (114) we get the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = &\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a^<_{n-2}+\mathrm{i}\dot{a}^>_{n-3}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[mC_k a^>_{n-k-1}+\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}D_k\widetilde{\sigma}^r {a^<_{n-k-2}}'\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2}\left(F_k+\frac{2}{r}D_k\right)\widetilde{\sigma}^r a^<_{n-k-2}+\mathrm{i}C_k\dot{a}^>_{n-k-3}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}E_k a^>_{n-k-3}\Bigg]
\end{aligned}\tag{115}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a^<_{n-1} = &-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a^>_{n-2}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2m}\dot{a}^<_{n-3}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}C_k a^<_{n-k-1}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m}D_k\widetilde{\sigma}^r {a^>_{n-k-2}}'\\
&+\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{4m}\left(F_k+\frac{2}{r}D_k\right)\widetilde{\sigma}^r a^>_{n-k-2}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2m}C_k\dot{a}^<_{n-k-3}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4m}E_k a^<_{n-k-3}\Bigg].
\end{aligned}\tag{116}$$

At order $n = 2$ the first equation yields $0 = mC_1 a_0^>$ and therefore $C_1 = 0$. This means $A_1 = 0$, $C_2 = -A_2$ and $F_1 = 0$. The second equation yields

$$a_1^< = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}}\cdot\vec{\nabla}a_0^>.\tag{117}$$

Inserting this into the first equation at order $n = 3$ we get

$$0 = \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} a_0^{>}\right) + \mathrm{i}\dot{a}_0^{>} - mA_1 a_0^{>} \tag{118}$$

As $(\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{u})^2 = \vec{u}^2$ for any vector $\vec{u}$ we obtain the Schrödinger-Newton equation

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\dot{a}_0^{>} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta a_0^{>} + V a_0^{>}, \tag{119}$$

with the potential $V = m\hbar A_2$ as we did for the Klein-Gordon equation.

The second equation at order $n = 3$ yields

$$a_2^{<} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m}\vec{\widetilde{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} a_1^{>} + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\hbar}}{2m} B_1 \widetilde{\sigma}^r \left(a_0^{>\prime} + \frac{1}{r} a_0^{>}\right). \tag{120}$$

This result could now again be inserted into the first equation (115) at order $n = 4$ which would result in the evolution equation for $a_1^{>}$, but in contrast to the pure Dirac equation (section 4.2) where we obtained the Pauli equation also for $a_1^{>}$, this evolution equation will be different from the Schrödinger-Newton equation.

4.3.1 Einstein's equations

Let us first derive the stress-energy-tensor for the Dirac field. The Dirac-Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \hbar c\overline{\psi}\left(\not{D} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)\psi\sqrt{-g}. \tag{121}$$

Considering the variation with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ on-shell (i. e. assuming the Dirac equation to be satisfied) we have

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \hbar c\,\mathrm{Re}\left[\overline{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i}\left(\delta\Gamma^\mu\right)\partial_\mu + \delta Y\right)\psi\sqrt{-g}\right]. \tag{122}$$

According to Finster *et al.* [11], with our special choice (91) for the Dirac matrices

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{\psi}\delta Y\psi\right) = \frac{1}{16}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\left(\delta g_{\nu\lambda}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma^\lambda\partial_\mu\Gamma_\rho\right)\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma_\sigma\psi \tag{123}$$

$$\delta\Gamma^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\delta g_{\nu\lambda}\right)\Gamma^\lambda \tag{124}$$

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\nu\sigma}\delta g^{\lambda\sigma} \tag{125}$$

and therefore the stress-energy-tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = &-\frac{\hbar c}{2}\,\mathrm{Re}\left[\overline{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i}\Gamma_\nu\partial_\mu + \mathrm{i}\Gamma_\mu\partial_\nu\right)\psi\right] \\ &+ \frac{\hbar c}{8}\epsilon^{\alpha\lambda\rho\beta}\left[g_{\mu\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma_\nu\partial_\alpha\Gamma_\rho\right) + g_{\nu\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Gamma_\mu\partial_\alpha\Gamma_\rho\right)\right]\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma_\beta\psi. \end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

Note that (124) is determined up to local Lorentz transformation. $\delta\Gamma^\mu$ has to obey

$$\delta\left\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\right\} = -\delta g^{\mu\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left\{\delta\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\right\} = -\delta g^{\mu\nu} \tag{127}$$

and (124) is a special solution to this equation.

The non-vanishing components of the stress-energy-tensor for a Dirac field ψ are then given by

$$T_{tt} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2A}c^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^t\dot{\psi}\right] \tag{128a}$$

$$T_{rr} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2B}\overline{\psi}\Gamma^r\psi'\right] \tag{128b}$$

$$T_{tr} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2A}c^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^t\psi' + \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2B}\overline{\psi}\Gamma^r\dot{\psi}\right] \tag{128c}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t\theta} = &-\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\theta\dot{\psi} - \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2A}c^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^t\partial_\theta\psi\right] \\ &-\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\mathrm{e}^{A-B}r^2\sin\theta\left(A' - \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^B}{r}\right)\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma^\varphi\psi \end{aligned} \tag{128d}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t\varphi} = &-\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\sin^2\theta\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\varphi\dot{\psi} - \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2A}c^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^t\partial_\varphi\psi\right] \\ &+\frac{\hbar c^2}{2}\mathrm{e}^{A-B}r^2\sin\theta\left(A' - \frac{1-\mathrm{e}^B}{r}\right)\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma^\theta\psi \end{aligned} \tag{128e}$$

$$T_{r\theta} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\theta\psi' + \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2B}\overline{\psi}\Gamma^r\partial_\theta\psi\right] - \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathrm{e}^{B-A}r^2\sin\theta\dot{B}\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma^\varphi\psi \tag{128f}$$

$$T_{r\varphi} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\sin^2\theta\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\varphi\psi' + \mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{2B}\overline{\psi}\Gamma^r\partial_\varphi\psi\right] + \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathrm{e}^{B-A}r^2\sin\theta\dot{B}\overline{\psi}\gamma^5\Gamma^\theta\psi \tag{128g}$$

$$T_{\theta\theta} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\theta\partial_\theta\psi\right] \tag{128h}$$

$$T_{\theta\varphi} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\theta\partial_\varphi\psi + \mathrm{i}r^2\sin^2\theta\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\varphi\partial_\theta\psi\right] \tag{128i}$$

$$T_{\varphi\varphi} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\mathrm{i}r^2\sin^2\theta\overline{\psi}\Gamma^\varphi\partial_\varphi\psi\right]. \tag{128j}$$

Up to order c^0 these can be simplified to

$$T_{tt} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^A c\right)\dot{\psi}\right] \tag{129a}$$

$$T_{rr} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^B\widetilde{\alpha}^r\right)\psi'\right] \tag{129b}$$

$$T_{tr} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(-\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^A c\right)\psi' + \psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^B\widetilde{\alpha}^r\right)\dot{\psi}\right] \tag{129c}$$

$$T_{t\theta} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\widetilde{\alpha}^\theta\right)\dot{\psi}\right] + \mathcal{O}(c^0) \tag{129d}$$

$$T_{r\theta} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\widetilde{\alpha}^\theta\right)\psi' + \psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^B\widetilde{\alpha}^r\right)\partial_\theta\psi\right] + \mathcal{O}(c^0) \tag{129e}$$

$$T_{t\varphi} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\sin\theta\widetilde{\alpha}^\varphi\right)\dot{\psi}\right] + \mathcal{O}(c^0) \tag{129f}$$

$$T_{r\varphi} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\sin\theta\widetilde{\alpha}^\varphi\right)\psi' + \psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^B\widetilde{\alpha}^r\right)\partial_\varphi\psi\right] + \mathcal{O}(c^0) \tag{129g}$$

$$T_{\theta\theta} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\widetilde{\alpha}^\theta\right)\partial_\theta\psi\right] \tag{129h}$$

$$T_{\theta\varphi} = -\frac{\hbar c}{2}\mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\widetilde{\alpha}^\theta\right)\partial_\varphi\psi + \psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\sin\theta\widetilde{\alpha}^\varphi\right)\partial_\theta\psi\right] \tag{129i}$$

$$T_{\varphi\varphi} = -\hbar c \mathrm{Re}\left[\psi^\dagger\left(\mathrm{i}r\sin\theta\widetilde{\alpha}^\varphi\right)\partial_\varphi\psi\right]. \tag{129j}$$

Again, as for the Klein-Gordon stress-energy tensor, we expand $T_{\mu\nu}/c^4$ using *Mathematica* and consider Einstein's equations with the Einstein tensor given in equation (36) for each component order by order, making use of $A_1 = 0$. The result differs from the Klein-Gordon result only slightly.

$\boldsymbol{n = 0}$**:** The tt-component yields $(rB_1)' = 0$.

$\boldsymbol{n = 1}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$\frac{2\hbar}{r^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}B_1^2 + (rB_2)'\right) = 8\pi G m |a_0^>|^2. \tag{130}$$

$\boldsymbol{n = 2}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$\frac{2\hbar}{r^2}\left(-4B_1^3 - 3rB_1B_2' + (rB_3)'\right) = 8\pi G m \left(a_1^{>\dagger}a_0^> + a_0^{>\dagger}a_1^>\right). \tag{131}$$

The rr-components yields $B_1 = 0$, the tr-component $\dot{B}_1 = 0$ and the $\theta\theta$-component $B_1' = 0$ are then trivial as well as the order $n = 0$ equation. Equations (130) and (131) then simplify to

$$(rB_2)' = \frac{4\pi G m r^2}{\hbar}|a_0^>|^2 \tag{132}$$

$$(rB_3)' = \frac{4\pi G m r^2}{\hbar}\left(a_1^{>\dagger}a_0^> + a_0^{>\dagger}a_1^>\right) \tag{133}$$

$\boldsymbol{n = 3}$**:** The tt-component yields

$$\begin{aligned}4(A_2 - B_2)(rB_2)' + B_2^2 - 2rB_2B_2' + (rB_4)' = \frac{4\pi G r^2}{\hbar^2}\Big[\frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar}{2}\left(a_0^{>\dagger}\dot{a}_0^> - \dot{a}_0^{>\dagger}a_0^>\right)\\ + m\hbar\left(A_2|a_0^>|^2 + |a_1|^2 + a_2^{>\dagger}a_0^> + a_0^{>\dagger}a_2^>\right)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

The rr-component yields

$$B_2 = rA_2' \tag{135}$$

and the $\theta\theta$-component is just the derivative of the rr-component. The tr-component yields (with $\widetilde{\sigma}^r$ as defined in (111))

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{r}\dot{B}_2 &= 2\pi\mathrm{i}G\left(a_0^{>\dagger}a_0^{>\prime} - a_0^{>\prime\dagger}a_0^>\right) - \frac{8\pi G m}{\sqrt{\hbar}}\mathrm{Re}\left(a_0^{>\dagger}\widetilde{\sigma}^r a_1^<\right)\\ &= 2\pi\mathrm{i}G\left(a_0^{>\dagger}a_0^{>\prime} - a_0^{>\prime\dagger}a_0^>\right) + 4\pi G\mathrm{Re}\left[a_0^{>\dagger}\left(\mathrm{i}\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\right)_r a_0^>\right],\\ &= 4\pi\mathrm{i}G\left(a_0^{>\dagger}a_0^{>\prime} - a_0^{>\prime\dagger}a_0^>\right) + 4\pi G\mathrm{Re}\left[a_0^{>\dagger}\left(\vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\right)_r a_0^>\right],\end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

where we made use of (117) to express $a_1^<$ in terms of $a_0^>$ in the second line. The $t\theta$- and $t\varphi$-components yield

$$0 = \mathrm{Re}\left(a_0^{>\dagger}\widetilde{\sigma}^\theta a_1^<\right) \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad 0 = \mathrm{Re}\left[a_0^{>\dagger}\left(\mathrm{i}\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\right)_\theta a_0^>\right] \tag{137a}$$

$$0 = \mathrm{Re}\left(a_0^{>\dagger}\widetilde{\sigma}^\varphi a_1^<\right) \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad 0 = \mathrm{Re}\left[a_0^{>\dagger}\left(\mathrm{i}\vec{\nabla} + \vec{\sigma}\times\vec{\nabla}\right)_\varphi a_0^>\right]. \tag{137b}$$

Now, because we consider the spherically symmetric Einstein's equations we have to average over all spin orientations in order to get a symmetric stress-energy-tensor. This implies that terms proportional to $\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{\nabla}$ in equations (136) and (137) will vanish and that (137) is equivalent to vanishing θ- and φ-components of the probability current

$$\vec{j}_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar}{2m} \left(\left(\vec{\nabla} a_0^{>\dagger} \right) a_0^{>} - a_0^{>\dagger} \vec{\nabla} a_0^{>} \right) . \tag{138}$$

If then, again, we define the potentials $V = m\hbar A_2$ and $U = m\hbar B_2$ and define j as the r-component of the probability current we get the same set of equations as in (48)

$$U = rV' \tag{139}$$

$$(rU)' = 4\pi G m^2 r^2 |a_0^{>}|^2 \tag{140}$$

$$\dot{U} = -4\pi G m^2 r j, \tag{141}$$

together with equations (133) and (134). As shown in subsection 3.3.2 this yields the Poisson equation for the potential V.

5 Summary

From our analysis of the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations we conclude that the expansion in either $\hbar$ or $1/c$ according to our ansatz (3) for the fields is a valid scheme to obtain both semi-classical and non-relativistic limits of field equations in an unambiguous way. Applying the same ansatz to the self-gravitating Klein-Gordon and Dirac fields, as mathematically represented by the Einstein-Klein-Gordon and Einstein-Dirac systems, leads to the Schrödinger-Newton equation. Hence we may say that the Schrödinger-Newton equation follows from the self-gravitating fields in the same way as the linear Schrödinger equation can be derived in flat space. Seen from that direction one concludes that the Schrödinger-Newton equation should provide a better description than the linear equation.

However, one may ask: description of what? In order to arrive at our result we considered the *classical* (i. e. not second quantised) Klein-Gordon or Dirac field as source for the classical gravitational field. The Schrödinger function then merely appears as part of these fields in the $1/c$ expansion and with certain phases (due to the rest mass) subtracted. The central question is, whether this is the right way to represent the gravitational field of quantum systems. Reading the classical fields as one-particle amplitudes, it amounts to assuming the validity of the semi-classical Einstein equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2} R\, g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \langle \Psi | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} | \Psi \rangle \tag{142}$$

where Ψ now represents the full (second quantised) field. This equation has a long and controversial history, which we will not review here. The interested reader is referred to the book by Kiefer [17] and references therein, and the recent discussion in Carlip [5], Salzman and Carlip [26]. Interesting early (1957) discussions between Feynman and others on that subject may be found in [8].

An obvious objections is this: If there were a gravitational self-coupling of the Schrödinger function, then there should also be an electromagnetic self-coupling. In

a lowest $1/c$ expansion (neglecting magnetic fields) this would again result in equation (1) with Gm^2 replaced by $-e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0)$, leading to enhanced dispersion due to electrostatic repulsion. Applied to the hydrogen atom, where the electron now not only "sees" the electrostatic attraction of the proton but also the electrostatic repulsion of its own charge-cloud, it seems obvious that this cannot again reproduce the known energy spectrum. Interestingly, precisely this idea of implementing the electromagnetic self-interaction of the quantum-mechanical wave function occurred to Schrödinger immediately after he wrote his famous papers on wave mechanics. In 1927 he argued [27] that, as a matter of principle, the self-coupling was required by consistency in order to get closed systems of field equations. Just like one derives the radiation reaction of charges through interaction with their own field in ordinary Maxwell theory. But being convinced that the result of this was incompatible with observed facts, like the hydrogen energy levels, Schrödinger concludes that, from a classical field-theoretic point of view, there is a "strange violation of the closedness of the field equations"[2].

Schrödinger's idea has been revived in the mid 1980's by Barut and collaborators, who wrote down a rather obvious non-linear Dirac equation which is obtained from the linear equation by first splitting the field into a self- and external part and then eliminating the self-part by means of Green's functions and the self-current, the latter then introducing non-linearities. They claimed that without any further input from QED this suffices to account for effects usually attributed to the quantisation of the electromagnetic field, like Lamb shift, spontaneous emission, and anomalous $g-2$. And even though from a path-integral perspective their equation is, in fact, just that one obtains from an effective action after integrating out the photons, it seems very far reaching indeed. What is more, it was also suggested (but not shown) that the correct values of the hydrogen spectrum can be obtained if due care is taken of the non-perturbative nature of this self interaction [4].

Another problem concerns the application of the Schrödinger-Newton equation to molecular interferometry. What aspect of the complex molecule, comprising many degrees of freedom, does our ψ represent? It just depends on 3 coordinates, so one might be tempted to identify it with the centre-of-mass motion. But one would certainly not expect an influence of the centre-of-mass motion from gravitational pair-interactions of many-particle systems [1]. Self-interactions are also absent in a manifest Galilei invariant (i.e. manifest "non-relativistic") second-quantised theory of the Schrödinger field in a Newton-Cartan spacetime [6]. This still leaves open the possibility to think of the Schrödinger-Newton equation as fundamental deviation from one-particle quantum mechanics. Alternatively one can regard our ψ as a condensate and think of the non-linearity as coming about through effective interactions, just like in the Choquard equation [13, 19].

Our results concerning the derivability of the Schrödinger-Newton equation by WKB-like methods from the Einstein-Klein-Gordon and Einstein-Dirac systems are, as such, independent of these questions. But, as stressed in the introduction, they clearly need to be addressed, in one form or another, in any attempt to make a well founded physical applications.

[2] "Gerade die *Geschlossenheit* der Feldgleichungen erscheint somit in eigenartiger Weise durchbrochen" (p. 271 [27]).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding through the Centre for Quantum Engineering and Space-Time Research (QUEST) at the Leibniz University Hannover.

References

[1] S. L. Adler. Comments on proposed gravitational modifications of Schrödinger dynamics and their experimental implications. *J. Phys. A*, 40:755–763, 2007.

[2] M. Arndt, K. Hornberger, and A. Zeilinger. Probing the limits of the quantum world. *Physics World*, 18:35–40, 2005.

[3] V. Bargmann, L. Michel, and V. L. Telegdi. Precession of the polarization of particles moving in a homogeneous electromagnetic field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2:435–436, 1959.

[4] A. O. Barut. Quantum-electrodynamics based on self-energy. *Phys. Scripta.*, T21:18–21, 1988.

[5] S. Carlip. Is quantum gravity necessary? *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 25:154010, 2008. doi: 10.1088/0264-9381/25/15/154010.

[6] J. Christian. Exactly soluble sector of quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 56(8):4844–4877, 1997.

[7] C. G. de Oliveira and J. Tiomno. Representations of Dirac equation in general relativity. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 24:1876–1891, 1962.

[8] C. De Witt, editor. *Conference on the Role of Gravitation in Physics*, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, January 1957. Wright Air Development Center, Technical Report 57-216. Online available at http://www.edition-open-access.de/ources/5/index.html.

[9] L. Diósi. Gravitation and quantum mechanical localization of macroobjects. *Phys. Lett. A*, 105: 199, 1984.

[10] F. Finster. Local U(2,2) symmetry in relativistic quantum mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 39:6276–6290, 1998.

[11] F. Finster, J. Smoller, and S.-T. Yau. Particlelike solutions of the Einstein-Dirac equations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 59:104020, 1999.

[12] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen. On the dirac theory of spin 1/2 particles and its non-relativistic limit. *Phys. Rev.*, 78(1):29–36, 4 1950.

[13] J. Fröhlich and E. Lenzmann. Mean-field limit of quantum Bose gases and nonlinear Hartree equation. *Seminaire EDP, Ecole Polytechnique*, XVIII:1–26, 2003-2004.

[14] D. Giulini. Equivalence principle, quantum mechanics, and atom-interferometric tests. In F. Finster, O. Müller, M. Nardmann, J. Tolksdorf, and E. Zeidler, editors, *Quantum Field Theory and Gravity. Conceptual and mathematical advances in the search for a unified framework*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2012. arXiv:1105.0749v2.

[15] D. Giulini and A. Großardt. Gravitationally induced inhibitions of dispersion according to the Schrödinger–Newton equation. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 28(19):195026, 2011.

[16] K. Hornberger, S. Gerlich, P. Haslinger, S. Nimmrichter, and M. Arndt. Colloquium: Quantum interference of clusters and molecules. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 84(1):157–173, 2012.

[17] C. Kiefer. *Quantum Gravity*, volume 124 of *International Series of Monographs on Physics*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, second edition, 2007.

[18] C. Kiefer and T. P. Singh. Quantum gravitational corrections to the functional Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. D*, 44:1067–1076, 1991.

[19] E. H. Lieb. Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 57:93–105, 1977.

[20] H. Padmanabhan and T. Padmanabhan. Nonrelativistic limit of quantum field theory in inertial and noninertial frames and the principle of equivalence. *Phys. Rev. D*, 84(8):085018 1–22, 2011.

[21] M. Pardy. Classical motions of spin 1/2 particles with zero anomalous magnetic moment. *Acta. Phys. Slovaca.*, 23:5–12, 1973.

[22] W. Pauli. Diracs Wellengleichung des Elektrons und geometrische Optik. *Helv. Phys. Acta.*, 5: 179–199, 1932.

[23] R. Penrose. Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, 356:1927–1939, 1998.

[24] K. Rafanelli and R. Schiller. Classical motions of spin-1/2 particles. *Phys. Rev. B*, 135(1):279–281, 7 1964.

[25] S. I. Rubinow and J. B. Keller. Asymptotic solution of the Dirac equation. *Phys. Rev.*, 131:2789–2796, 1963.

[26] P. J. Salzman and S. Carlip. A possible experimental test of quantized gravity. arXiv:gr-qc/0606120, 2006. Based on the Ph.D. thesis of P. Salzman: "Investigation of the Time Dependent Schrödinger-Newton Equation", Univ. of California at Davis, 2005.

[27] E. Schrödinger. Der Energieimpulssatz der Materiewellen. *Ann. Phys. Leipzig*, 387:265–272, 1927.

[28] H. Spohn. Semiclassical limit of the Dirac equation and spin precession. *Ann. Phys. New York*, 282: 420–431, 2000.

[29] N. Straumann. *General Relativity*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[30] G. Wentzel. Eine Verallgemeinerung der Qantenbedingungen für die Zwecke der Wellenmechanik. *Z. Phys. A*, 38:518–529, 1926.